Kåre Hagen

Social Innovation - a Nordic Perspective



If social Innovation and entrepereneurship is the answer

What really are the questions?

- More elderly memers of our communities (social isolation, dementia)
- New social risks and mechanisms of exclusion among the young
- Economic limits to state financed service production
- Organisational inefficiencies more money into old institutions will not do



If social Innovation and entrepereneurship is the answer

What really are the questions?

- More elderly memers of our communities (social isolation, dementia)
- New social risks and mechanisms of exclusion among the young
- Economic limits to state financed service production
- Organisational inefficiencies more money into old institutions will not do

New Agenda – to develop public services as to mobilise – not crowd out – private sector resorces (co-production) utilise new ideas, enthusiasm and creativity of entrepreneurs (innovation) improve quality of life for all members of society



Historical backdrop: The Nordic tradition

A theory of comparative institutional advantages

GOOD	Equity Fairness Solidarity	MARKET Efficiency Choice Dynamic	Trust True motives Compassion	
BAD	Inertia Inefficiency Producer power	Profit motives Injustice Unmet needs	Dependency Quality Non-specialists	



Historical backdrop: The Nordic tradition

A theory of comparative institutional advantages

Policies in theory and rethoric: To mix elements from state, market and voluntary sector

Policies in practice:

State financing of socialised private actors, contracting out Focus on reducing costs, not on innovation and new dymaics

Now a call for innoation – in order to

* let private entrepreneurs 'arrange' the encounter between state resources and human needs – and apply market-like modes of management and organisation

* foster and try out in practice new and radical ideas for welfare provision



Innovation involves risk, failure and learning

A new role for the welfare state – and between central state and local government

■ Innovation and risk taking is local – risk socialisation must be central
Legal challenges and matters must be solved at central level
'Zero-failure cultures' in public sector (and mass medias) must be challenged
☐ State resources needed for accumulating experience and facilitate learning

☐ Rights and welfare guarantees for the target group ('users') must be secured

☐ The overall Welfare State responsibility for every citizen must not be questioned



Three examples

- For people with disabilities: 'User organized personal assistant'
- For elderly in early phases of dementia. 'Into the countryside'
- For socially isolated elderly: Targeted labour market measures



For people with disabilities: 'User organized personal assistant

- Useres are organised as an interest group
- The state provides av legal regime for the user-assistant relationship
- Users recruit personell and serves as employer for the assistant
- The welfare state pays the wage (with more or less co-payment)

Needs are defined by the users (not by welfare state professionals)

Transaction cost are small

State regulation prevents a master-servant-relationship

Employment opportunities are created for unemployed



For elderly in early phases of dementia. 'Into the countryside'

State subsidies to agriculture are high – and politically sticky

Exposing patients to outdoor activities and contact with animals have positive health effects

Thus: Instead of paying farmers to produce food
Old age institutions are provided with funds (from the Ministry of Agriculture)
to purchase activities from farmers – at the farm.

Needs/target group are defined by professionals, social entrepreneurs acts as agents between farmers and old age institutions.

Farmers receive (alternative) income, state expenditure is constant, and quality of life of patients is dramatically enhanced.



For socially isolated elderly: Targeted labour market measures

A Scandinavian preference for 'staying in ones own home as long as possible' has as an effect a growing number of lonely people (with worsening health)

Labour market measures (for young unemployed) are redirected as to provide practical help (and thus inter-generational personal contacts) with the elderly.

Social entrepreneurs serve as agents in organising the demand side, professionally run labour market measures provide the supply side.



Conclusion

- non-profit, altruistic motives is a powerful generator for new ideas for fighting social problems in a post-modern welfare state
- A shift in focus form regarding the third sector not only as an alternative produces of welfare services, but as an 'agent' in arranging the enconter between a quality of life defined demand side and the economic resources of the state.
- Innovation implies risk-taking and legal matters which must be taken seriously in a state-regime for decentralized innovation processes.

